UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v. Anthony Frank Jordan	Case No. 1:12-mj-00398
Defendant	_ ,
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I	- Findings of Fact
	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of se that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. which the prison term is 10 years or more.	§ 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentenc	e is death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison teri	m of ten years or more is prescribed in:*
a felony committed after the defendant had U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable s	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 state or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence bu	t involves:
	arm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon S.C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	ne date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable pre- person or the community. I further find that defer	esumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another dant has not rebutted that presumption.
·	ative Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defen	dant has committed an offense
for which a maximum prison term of ten year Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801	
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance	established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions ee and the safety of the community.
	ative Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	
	anger the safety of another person or the community.
	of the Reasons for Detention
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	at the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing
Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to co	ntest detention pending trial.

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	December 18, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	